WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA** 

	v.	C	RDE	ER OF DETENTION PE	NDING TRIAL	
	Federico Renteria-Villareal	Case Numb	er: _	11-6255M		
and was repr	re with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § resented by counsel. I conclude by a predant pending trial in this case.					
		FINDINGS OF FACT				
I find by a pr	eponderance of the evidence that:					
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.					
$\boxtimes$	The defendant, at the time of the cl	ne defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.					
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.					
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.					
	The defendant lives/works in Mexic	o.				
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.					
	There is a record of the defendant using numerous aliases.					
	The defendant attempted to evade	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a maximur	n of	y	ears imprisonment.		
The tat the time of	Court incorporates by reference the ma f the hearing in this matter, except as r	terial findings of the Pretri noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAN		ervices Agency which we	ere reviewed by the Court	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defe No condition or combination of con DIREC	endant will flee.	sure	the appearance of the o	defendant as required.	
a corrections appeal. The of the United	defendant is committed to the custody facility separate, to the extent practical defendant shall be afforded a reasonal States or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the purp	of the Attorney General or ble, from persons awaiting ble opportunity for private of the Government, the pers	r his/ or se consu con in conn	/her designated represe erving sentences or bein sultation with defense coun in charge of the correction nection with a court proc	g held in custody pending unsel. On order of a cour ns facility shall deliver the	
	ORDERED that should an appeal of the y of the motion for review/reconsideration	nis detention order be filed	d with	h the District Court, it is o		
IT IS Services suf	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release ficiently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	to a third party is to be co ore the District Court to al	nside Ilow I	lered, it is counsel's resp Pretrial Services an opp	oonsibility to notify Pretria portunity to interview and	
DA	TED this 20 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 201	1.				
	_	Jan				

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge